

I. RESPONSE

The Examiner is requested to reconsider the application.

Claims 1, 61, and 64-65 are pending, and all claims have been found allowable except for claim 1.

Claim 1 has been rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. Sec. 103. The Examiner contends that claim 1 is obvious over Sen or Grall, in view of Rees as is more precisely set forth in paragraph 3 of the Office Action.

The rejection is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. The rejection is improper because:

- (1) all claim requirements have not been shown in the cited art (e.g., no teaching of the claimed secondary wavelet, the receiving, and the processing as required in claim 1), thus no combination of the cited art can show that the claim as a whole was obvious;
- (2) the contended combination of teachings to reach the claimed invention would render the cited art inoperable for their respective purposes;
- (3) the contended combination of teachings to reach the claimed invention would change the principles of operation of the devices shown in the respective cited art; and
- (4) no motivation or suggestion has been shown in the cited art that, as of the date of the instant application, would have prompted one skilled in the art to make the combination to reach the claimed invention.


Because the PTO has not shown *prima facie* obviousness, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

III. FEE

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with the above-identified patent application or credit any overcharges to Deposit Account No. 50-0235. Additionally, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (312) 240-0824 if it can in any way expedite or ease the handling of this case. Please direct all correspondence to the undersigned at the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 20, 2006


Peter K. Trzyna
(Reg. No. 32,601)

P.O. Box 7131
Chicago, IL 60680-7131
(312) 240-0824